

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,811	08/04/2004	David J. Lovell	00124-01075-US	4810
23416 7	590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			COONEY, JOHN M	
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1711	
		DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Y	10/710,811	LOVELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John m. Cooney	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Jantian van dinamant					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers	•	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	•	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	ed.				
	or and doranied dopied net receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	··				

Application/Control Number: 10/710,811

Art Unit: 1711

18

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 14, 20, 21 drawn to pre-thermoformed laminate and process for making, classified in class 156, subclass 182.
- II. Claims 12 and 15, drawn to thermoformed laminate, classified in class428, subclass 423.1.
- III. Claims 13, 18, and 19, drawn to pre-thermoformed article, classified in class 528, subclass 44.
- IV. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to thermoformed article, classified in class 521, subclass 170.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I. & III.) and (II. & IV.) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as photocurable composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions (III. & IV.) and (I. & II.) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the

Application/Control Number: 10/710,811

Art Unit: 1711

intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a spacer material and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

No call was made due to the number of groups for consideration. Further, if claims drawn to pre-thermoformed articles are elected, then care should be made to remove limitations pertaining to thermoforming operations from the claims as these limitations have no antecedent basis in the claims.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Application/Control Number: 10/710,811

Art Unit: 1711

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John m.

Cooney at telephone number 571-272-1070.

John m Gooney

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1711